



Uptown Transportation Study



Part B: Interstate 71 Access
Improvement Study, PID 77628



Planning Study Report

November 2006



720 East Pete Rose Way
Suite 420
Cincinnati, OH 45202



URS
36 East 7th Street
Suite 2300
Cincinnati, OH 45202



Uptown Transportation Study

Part B: Interstate 71 Access Improvement Study, PID 77628

Prepared For:

**Ohio-Kentucky-Indiana Regional Council of Governments
and the
Uptown Transportation Study Implementation Partners**

Prepared By:

**URS Corporation
Edwards & Kelcey
Walker Parking
Manual Padron & Associates
Catt Lyon Design**

November 2006



Implementation Partners (IP):

Ohio-Kentucky-Indiana Regional Council of Governments (OKI)

City of Cincinnati

Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority

Ohio Department of Transportation

Uptown Consortium

Amos Project



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The successful completion of the Uptown Transportation Study was only possible through the collaborative planning, review, and participation of many dedicated people. On behalf of the Study Team, OKI wishes to express our sincere appreciation and gratitude to the members of the Implementation Partners and the following participants who made the study a success.

OHIO-KENTUCKY-INDIANA REGIONAL COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

Management

Mark R. Policinski, Executive Director

Robert W. Koehler, P.E., Deputy Executive Director/Project Manager

Administration and Communication

Karen Whitaker, Project Administrator

Sarah Woller Fry, Interim Communication Manager

Florence Parker, Public Involvement Specialist

Data Services, GIS, and Transportation Planning Staff

CONSULTANT TEAM

URS Corporation

Edwards and Kelcey

Walker Parking Consultants

Manuel Padron & Associates

LSA Design, Inc.



Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY i

1. PROJECT HISTORY AND OVERVIEW..... 1

1.1. Project History 2

1.2. Study Area..... 2

1.3. Organizational Structure 4

2. PURPOSE AND NEED..... 6

2.1. Purpose 6

2.2. Primary Needs 6

2.2.1. Reduce Travel Time..... 6

2.2.2. Simplify Wayfinding..... 10

2.2.3. Promote Economic Vitality 10

2.3. Secondary Needs 11

2.3.1. Reduce Accidents..... 12

2.3.2. Reduction in Design Deficiencies..... 12

2.3.3. Substantially Reduce Weave at Reading Road (US-42) Interchange..... 12

2.3.4. Increase Travel Time Reliability 13

2.4. Measures of Effectiveness..... 13

3. COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT & PROJECT COMMUNICATION..... 14

3.1. Implementation Partners and Advisory Committee 18

3.2. Stakeholder Interviews 18

3.3. Public Meetings 18

3.4. Media Relations 18

3.5. Website 18

3.6. Satellite Office 18

3.7. Public/Agency Comments..... 18

3.8. Community Council Meetings 18

3.9. Speaker’s Bureau and Presentations..... 18

3.10. Walk Around Tours 18

3.11. Additional Outreach 18

4. EXISTING AND FUTURE CONDITIONS..... 19

4.1. Population 19

4.2. Employment..... 19

4.3. Land Use 19

4.4. Economic Development..... 20

4.5. Regional Travel Patterns 21

4.6. Existing Plans and Projects 22

4.6.1. OKI Plans and Projects..... 22

4.6.2. ODOT Plans and Projects..... 24

4.6.3. Community Plans and Projects 25

4.6.4. Institutional Plans..... 25



Planning Study Report

Part B: Interstate 71 Access Improvement Study, PID 77628

4.7.	Roadway Network.....	25
4.7.1.	Geometric Design Exceptions.....	26
4.7.2.	Pavement Condition Ratings.....	27
4.7.3.	Bridge Rating Reports.....	28
4.7.4.	Signage.....	29
4.7.5.	US-42.....	31
4.7.6.	US-22/SR3.....	31
4.7.7.	Local Roadway Network.....	31
4.8.	Traffic Analysis.....	32
4.8.1.	Traffic Volume Data.....	32
4.8.2.	Adjustment Methodology.....	34
4.9.	2005 Level of Service (LOS).....	36
4.9.1.	Basic Freeway Segments.....	36
4.9.2.	Ramp Junctions.....	37
4.9.3.	Intersections.....	38
4.9.4.	2030 Level of Service.....	39
4.10.	Accident Analysis.....	43
4.10.1.	ODOT Congestion Rankings.....	43
4.10.2.	Interstate-71.....	43
4.10.3.	US-42 (Reading Road).....	48
4.10.4.	US-22 (Gilbert Avenue/Montgomery Road).....	51
4.10.5.	Other Streets.....	51
4.11.	Multimodal Alternatives.....	52
4.11.1.	Bike and Pedestrian Network.....	52
4.11.2.	SORTA Metro Transit Service.....	52
4.11.3.	SORTA Rail Right of Way.....	53
4.11.4.	Institutional Shuttles.....	53
5.	RED FLAG SUMMARY.....	54
5.1.	Environmental Justice.....	54
5.2.	Natural Environment.....	54
5.3.	Cultural Resources.....	55
5.4.	Community Facilities.....	55
5.5.	Geotechnical Characteristics.....	55
5.6.	Highway Traffic Noise.....	56
5.7.	Air Quality.....	56
5.8.	Hazardous Materials.....	57
6.	ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS.....	58
6.1.	Alternatives Considered But Dismissed.....	61
6.2.	Conceptual Alternatives To Advance.....	63
6.2.1.	No Build.....	63
6.2.2.	TSM Option.....	63
6.2.3.	Build Alternatives.....	63
7.	STRATEGIC PLAN.....	69
7.1.	Introduction.....	69
7.2.	Uptown Transportation Study - Part A Recommendations.....	69



7.3.	Primary Recommendations.....	70
7.3.1.	No Build Alternative	70
7.3.2.	TSM Alternative	71
7.3.3.	Build Alternatives	71
7.3.4.	Estimated Costs and Implementation.....	73
7.3.5.	Modification of Reading Road/Gilbert Avenue Interchange	74
7.3.6.	Realignment of Ramp ME (Montgomery Road to I-71 Southbound).....	76
7.3.7.	Dana Avenue Interchange	77
7.3.8.	I-71 Mainline Capacity	77
7.4.	Secondary Recommendations	78
7.4.1.	City of Cincinnati Zoning Classification Review	78
7.4.2.	Preservation of Public Right-of-Way	78
7.4.3.	Sign Audit	79
7.4.4.	Utility Coordination.....	80
7.4.5.	Transit.....	80
7.4.6.	Economic Impact Analysis	81
7.5.	Project Implementation	81
7.5.1.	Schedule.....	82
7.5.2.	Project Delivery Strategy	83
7.6.	Public Involvement Concerns and Issues	84
7.7.	Funding	85
8.	REFERENCES	90
9.	ILLUSTRATIVE MAPS	92

List of Figures

Figure 1:	Project Study Area	3
Figure 2:	I-71 Access from the South.....	7
Figure 3:	I-71 Access from the North	8
Figure 4:	Conceptual Alternatives Development	58
Figure 5	Remaining Steps in the ODOT Project Development Process	81
Figure 6	Estimated Expenditures by Year (2006 dollars)	86

List of Tables

Table 1	Uptown Transportation Study Implementation Partners	4
Table 2	Uptown Transportation Study Advisory Committee Members	5
Table 3	OKI 2030 LRTP Fiscally Constrained Projects	22
Table 4	OKI 2030 Transportation Plan Additional Projects.....	23
Table 5	OKI 2030 FY 2006 - 2009 TIP	23



List of Tables (Continued)

Table 6	I-71 Design Exceptions	27
Table 7	ODOT Pavement Condition Ratings.....	28
Table 8	Bridge General Appraisal Ratings	29
Table 9	2005 LOS Northbound Basic Freeway Segments	36
Table 10	2005 LOS Southbound Basic Freeway Segments	37
Table 11	2005 LOS Northbound Ramp Junctions.....	38
Table 12	2005 LOS Southbound Ramp Junctions	38
Table 13	2005 LOS At Grade Intersections	39
Table 14	2030 LOS Northbound Basic Freeway Segments	41
Table 15	2030 LOS Southbound Basic Freeway Segments.....	41
Table 16	2030 LOS Northbound Ramp Junctions.....	42
Table 17	2030 LOS Southbound Ramp Junctions	42
Table 18	I-71 Ramp Accidents 2002 - 2004	46
Table 19	US 42 Mid-block Accident Rates.....	49
Table 20	US 42 Intersection Accident Rates.....	50
Table 21	Evaluation Matrix	59
Table 22	Interchange Options Comments and Recommendations	62
Table 23	2006 Estimated Costs for Conceptual Access Improvements at Taft/McMillan and/or ML King Drive	72
Table 24	Estimated Inflated Costs for Conceptual Access Improvements at Taft/McMillan and/or ML King Drive	73
Table 25	Implementation Agencies and Estimated Costs	83
Table 26	2007-2012 TRAC allocations for ODOT District 8	88



List of Charts

Chart 2 2004 ARTIMIS Hourly Volumes35

Chart 2 I-71 Northbound Rear-End Crashes.....45

Chart 3 I-71 Southbound Rear-End Crashes45

Chart 4 ARTIMIS Incident Type 2001-200347

Chart 5 ARTIMIS Incident Duration by Type 2001-200348

Chart 6 US-22 Crashes by Block.....55

APPENDICES

Appendix A Purpose and Need Statement – Measures of Effectiveness

Appendix B Correspondence

Appendix C Existing and Future Conditions Report

Appendix D HCS Analysis – I-71

Appendix E HCS Analysis – At Grade Intersections

Appendix F Red Flag Summary Report

Appendix G Part B – Step 3 Summary

Appendix H Cost Estimates

Appendix I Alignment Studies