



# Uptown Transportation Study



Part B: Interstate 71 Access  
Improvement Study, PID 77628



## Planning Study Report

November 2006



720 East Pete Rose Way  
Suite 420  
Cincinnati, OH 45202



**URS**  
36 East 7th Street  
Suite 2300  
Cincinnati, OH 45202



# **Uptown Transportation Study**

**Part B: Interstate 71 Access Improvement Study, PID 77628**

## **Prepared For:**

**Ohio-Kentucky-Indiana Regional Council of Governments  
and the  
Uptown Transportation Study Implementation Partners**

## **Prepared By:**

**URS Corporation  
Edwards & Kelcey  
Walker Parking  
Manual Padron & Associates  
Catt Lyon Design**

**November 2006**



**Implementation Partners (IP):**

**Ohio-Kentucky-Indiana Regional Council of Governments (OKI)**

**City of Cincinnati**

**Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority**

**Ohio Department of Transportation**

**Uptown Consortium**

**Amos Project**



## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The successful completion of the Uptown Transportation Study was only possible through the collaborative planning, review, and participation of many dedicated people. On behalf of the Study Team, OKI wishes to express our sincere appreciation and gratitude to the members of the Implementation Partners and the following participants who made the study a success.

### **OHIO-KENTUCKY-INDIANA REGIONAL COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS**

#### Management

Mark R. Policinski, Executive Director

Robert W. Koehler, P.E., Deputy Executive Director/Project Manager

#### Administration and Communication

Karen Whitaker, Project Administrator

Sarah Woller Fry, Interim Communication Manager

Florence Parker, Public Involvement Specialist

Data Services, GIS, and Transportation Planning Staff

### **CONSULTANT TEAM**

URS Corporation

Edwards and Kelcey

Walker Parking Consultants

Manuel Padron & Associates

LSA Design, Inc.



**Table of Contents**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ..... i

1. PROJECT HISTORY AND OVERVIEW..... 1

1.1. Project History ..... 2

1.2. Study Area..... 2

1.3. Organizational Structure ..... 4

2. PURPOSE AND NEED..... 6

2.1. Purpose ..... 6

2.2. Primary Needs..... 6

2.2.1. Reduce Travel Time.....6

2.2.2. Simplify Wayfinding.....10

2.2.3. Promote Economic Vitality .....10

2.3. Secondary Needs .....11

2.3.1. Reduce Accidents.....12

2.3.2. Reduction in Design Deficiencies.....12

2.3.3. Substantially Reduce Weave at Reading Road (US-42) Interchange.....12

2.3.4. Increase Travel Time Reliability .....13

2.4. Measures of Effectiveness.....13

3. COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT & PROJECT COMMUNICATION.....14

3.1. Implementation Partners and Advisory Committee .....18

3.2. Stakeholder Interviews .....18

3.3. Public Meetings .....18

3.4. Media Relations.....18

3.5. Website .....18

3.6. Satellite Office .....18

3.7. Public/Agency Comments.....18

3.8. Community Council Meetings .....18

3.9. Speaker’s Bureau and Presentations.....18

3.10. Walk Around Tours.....18

3.11. Additional Outreach .....18

4. EXISTING AND FUTURE CONDITIONS.....19

4.1. Population .....19

4.2. Employment.....19

4.3. Land Use .....19

4.4. Economic Development.....20

4.5. Regional Travel Patterns .....21

4.6. Existing Plans and Projects .....22

4.6.1. OKI Plans and Projects.....22

4.6.2. ODOT Plans and Projects.....24

4.6.3. Community Plans and Projects .....25

4.6.4. Institutional Plans.....25



# Planning Study Report

## Part B: Interstate 71 Access Improvement Study, PID 77628

4.7.	Roadway Network.....	25
4.7.1.	Geometric Design Exceptions.....	26
4.7.2.	Pavement Condition Ratings.....	27
4.7.3.	Bridge Rating Reports.....	28
4.7.4.	Signage.....	29
4.7.5.	US-42.....	31
4.7.6.	US-22/SR3.....	31
4.7.7.	Local Roadway Network.....	31
4.8.	Traffic Analysis.....	32
4.8.1.	Traffic Volume Data.....	32
4.8.2.	Adjustment Methodology.....	34
4.9.	2005 Level of Service (LOS).....	36
4.9.1.	Basic Freeway Segments.....	36
4.9.2.	Ramp Junctions.....	37
4.9.3.	Intersections.....	38
4.9.4.	2030 Level of Service.....	39
4.10.	Accident Analysis.....	43
4.10.1.	ODOT Congestion Rankings.....	43
4.10.2.	Interstate-71.....	43
4.10.3.	US-42 (Reading Road).....	48
4.10.4.	US-22 (Gilbert Avenue/Montgomery Road).....	51
4.10.5.	Other Streets.....	51
4.11.	Multimodal Alternatives.....	52
4.11.1.	Bike and Pedestrian Network.....	52
4.11.2.	SORTA Metro Transit Service.....	52
4.11.3.	SORTA Rail Right of Way.....	53
4.11.4.	Institutional Shuttles.....	53
5.	RED FLAG SUMMARY.....	54
5.1.	Environmental Justice.....	54
5.2.	Natural Environment.....	54
5.3.	Cultural Resources.....	55
5.4.	Community Facilities.....	55
5.5.	Geotechnical Characteristics.....	55
5.6.	Highway Traffic Noise.....	56
5.7.	Air Quality.....	56
5.8.	Hazardous Materials.....	57
6.	ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS.....	58
6.1.	Alternatives Considered But Dismissed.....	61
6.2.	Conceptual Alternatives To Advance.....	63
6.2.1.	No Build.....	63
6.2.2.	TSM Option.....	63
6.2.3.	Build Alternatives.....	63
7.	STRATEGIC PLAN.....	69
7.1.	Introduction.....	69
7.2.	Uptown Transportation Study - Part A Recommendations.....	69



7.3.	Primary Recommendations.....	70
7.3.1.	No Build Alternative .....	70
7.3.2.	TSM Alternative .....	71
7.3.3.	Build Alternatives .....	71
7.3.4.	Estimated Costs and Implementation.....	73
7.3.5.	Modification of Reading Road/Gilbert Avenue Interchange .....	74
7.3.6.	Realignment of Ramp ME (Montgomery Road to I-71 Southbound).....	76
7.3.7.	Dana Avenue Interchange .....	77
7.3.8.	I-71 Mainline Capacity .....	77
7.4.	Secondary Recommendations .....	78
7.4.1.	City of Cincinnati Zoning Classification Review .....	78
7.4.2.	Preservation of Public Right-of-Way .....	78
7.4.3.	Sign Audit .....	79
7.4.4.	Utility Coordination.....	80
7.4.5.	Transit.....	80
7.4.6.	Economic Impact Analysis .....	81
7.5.	Project Implementation .....	81
7.5.1.	Schedule.....	82
7.5.2.	Project Delivery Strategy .....	83
7.6.	Public Involvement Concerns and Issues .....	84
7.7.	Funding .....	85
8.	REFERENCES .....	90
9.	ILLUSTRATIVE MAPS .....	92

**List of Figures**

Figure 1:	Project Study Area.....	3
Figure 2:	I-71 Access from the South.....	7
Figure 3:	I-71 Access from the North .....	8
Figure 4:	Conceptual Alternatives Development .....	58
Figure 5	Remaining Steps in the ODOT Project Development Process .....	81
Figure 6	Estimated Expenditures by Year (2006 dollars) .....	86

**List of Tables**

Table 1	Uptown Transportation Study Implementation Partners .....	4
Table 2	Uptown Transportation Study Advisory Committee Members .....	5
Table 3	OKI 2030 LRTP Fiscally Constrained Projects .....	22
Table 4	OKI 2030 Transportation Plan Additional Projects.....	23
Table 5	OKI 2030 FY 2006 - 2009 TIP.....	23



**List of Tables (Continued)**

Table 6	I-71 Design Exceptions .....	27
Table 7	ODOT Pavement Condition Ratings.....	28
Table 8	Bridge General Appraisal Ratings .....	29
Table 9	2005 LOS Northbound Basic Freeway Segments .....	36
Table 10	2005 LOS Southbound Basic Freeway Segments .....	37
Table 11	2005 LOS Northbound Ramp Junctions.....	38
Table 12	2005 LOS Southbound Ramp Junctions .....	38
Table 13	2005 LOS At Grade Intersections .....	39
Table 14	2030 LOS Northbound Basic Freeway Segments .....	41
Table 15	2030 LOS Southbound Basic Freeway Segments.....	41
Table 16	2030 LOS Northbound Ramp Junctions.....	42
Table 17	2030 LOS Southbound Ramp Junctions .....	42
Table 18	I-71 Ramp Accidents 2002 - 2004.....	46
Table 19	US 42 Mid-block Accident Rates.....	49
Table 20	US 42 Intersection Accident Rates.....	50
Table 21	Evaluation Matrix .....	59
Table 22	Interchange Options Comments and Recommendations .....	62
Table 23	2006 Estimated Costs for Conceptual Access Improvements at Taft/McMillan and/or ML King Drive .....	72
Table 24	Estimated Inflated Costs for Conceptual Access Improvements at Taft/McMillan and/or ML King. Drive .....	73
Table 25	Implementation Agencies and Estimated Costs .....	83
Table 26	2007-2012 TRAC allocations for ODOT District 8 .....	88





**List of Charts**

Chart 2 2004 ARTIMIS Hourly Volumes .....35

Chart 2 I-71 Northbound Rear-End Crashes.....45

Chart 3 I-71 Southbound Rear-End Crashes .....45

Chart 4 ARTIMIS Incident Type 2001-2003 .....47

Chart 5 ARTIMIS Incident Duration by Type 2001-2003 .....48

Chart 6 US-22 Crashes by Block.....55

**APPENDICES**

Appendix A Purpose and Need Statement – Measures of Effectiveness

Appendix B Correspondence

Appendix C Existing and Future Conditions Report

Appendix D HCS Analysis – I-71

Appendix E HCS Analysis – At Grade Intersections

Appendix F Red Flag Summary Report

Appendix G Part B – Step 3 Summary

Appendix H Cost Estimates

Appendix I Alignment Studies